

Engineering, Operations & Technology Phantom Works

hantom

# Understanding Process Differences:

## Agreeing Upon a Single Way to Skin a Cat

## Steven Poltrock and Mark Handel, Boeing Phantom Works Mark Klein, MIT

Research was sponsored by the U.S. Army Research Laboratory and the U.K. Ministry of Defence and was accomplished under Agreement Number W911NF-06-3-0001. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the author(s) and should not be interpreted as representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the U.S. Army Research Laboratory, the U.S. Government, the U.K. Ministry of Defence or the U.K. Government. The U.S. and U.K. Governments are authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for Government purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation heron.

BOEING is a trademark of Boeing Management Company Copyright © 2006 Boeing. All rights reserved.

## **Coalition members must work together**

Engineering, Operations & Technology | Phantom Works

- Working together requires common or integrated processes
  - Organizations follow different processes to accomplish the same goals
  - Coalition members also differ in language, culture, policies, and objectives
- What would help coalition members achieve common or integrated processes?
  - Hypothesis: A method for analyzing processes that identifies the root causes of their differences
  - May help eliminate, reconcile, or at least understand differences

## **Coordination theory describes why people collaborate**

Engineering, Operations & Technology | Phantom Works

- Coordination theory describes how people or software agents coordinate their activities
- Collaboration occurs in order to manage dependencies between tasks



## **Top-down modeling approach**

Engineering, Operations & Technology | Phantom Works

- Top-down approach instead of the typical bottom-up analysis
- Iteratively:
  - Define primary process steps
  - Identify fit, flow, and share constraints
  - Select a coordination mechanism from a knowledge base – the Process Handbook
  - Add exception handlers
- Benefits:
  - Compare, analyze, and integrate different processes for performing the same work
  - Reduce process diversity
  - Identify process similarities



goal: minimize duration & cost

E&IT | Mathematics and Computing Technology

predefined interfaces

constraints

Coordination mechanisms in knowledge base

## **Coordination mechanisms encounter exceptions**

Engineering, Operations & Technology | Phantom Works

- Processes are fragile because of unexpected exception conditions
- The knowledgebase includes exceptions and methods for handling those exceptions
- Potential for real-time detection of exceptions and automated process repair



## Change management is mission critical in industry

Engineering, Operations & Technology | Phantom Works

E&IT | Mathematics and Computing Technology

- A single program may have hundreds of change management processes
  - Everyone uses different tools and processes
  - Everyone agrees on the basic process



 We modeled and compared three change management processes in the same program

## **Top level of the change management process**

Engineering, Operations & Technology | Phantom Works

- The coordination theory approach:
  - Identify primary process steps
  - Identify fit, flow, and share dependencies
  - Select a coordination mechanism
  - Decide how to address anticipated exceptions by adding exception handlers
  - Iterate



## **Avoid implementing wrong change requests**

Engineering, Operations & Technology | Phantom Works

E&IT | Mathematics and Computing Technology

#### **Exceptions and their handlers**

Avoided by	Filter out unwanted elements (by individual or team judgment)		
Resolved by	Filter out bad agents		
Detected by	Monitor agents for commitment violations		
Anticipated by	Track reputation information		
Avoided by	Provide incentives		



#### **Tradeoffs for specializations of filtering out unwanted elements**

Alternative	Best for	Cost	Quality	Speed
Filter by individual	Initial pruning of easy-to-find problems, such as missing data	Low	Low	Fast
Filter by team	Careful evaluation of resource from multiple perspectives	High	High	Slow

Copyright © 2006 Boeing. All rights reserved.

## We modeled three change management processes

Engineering, Operations & Technology | Phantom Works

E&IT | Mathematics and Computing Technology

## Compared three change management processes

- Cost and schedule
- Product configuration
- Processes and tools
- Most steps involve coordination (41 of 48 tasks in one process)
  - Sending change requests to reviewers
  - Collecting and consolidating reviews
  - Distributing reviews
  - Holding review meetings
  - Notifying requestor of outcome

## **Modeling process variation**

Engineering, Operations & Technology | Phantom Works

- Process differences were due to selecting different coordination mechanisms and exception handlers
- A derivation tree captures the process refinements
  - Bold text defines the aspect of the model to be refined
  - Targets of the arrows describe the selected coordination or exception handling process



### **Derivation trees can show similarities and differences**

Engineering, Operations & Technology | Phantom Works



## **Coalition mission planning**

Engineering, Operations & Technology | Phantom Works

- Can this approach help coalition members (or different forces) integrate their mission planning processes?
  - Construct a coordination theory of mission planning
  - Identify alternative coordination mechanisms and exception handlers and their tradeoffs
- Modeling the military decision making process described in FM 101-5



## **Top level model of mission planning**

Engineering, Operations & Technology | Phantom Works

- Manage flow of assessment information
  - Timing: deploy
    reconnaissance early
  - Usability: Provide guidance about needed information
  - Location: Collocate staff
- Manage flow of COAs
  - Timing: Initial guidance and warning order
  - Usability: War games
  - Location: Collocate staff



## Conclusion

## Coalition members must work together

- Each member will have established processes
- Other members' processes may appear inferior
- Process integration requires understanding why processes differ
  - When different processes accomplish the same goals, the differences are generally in how work is coordinated
  - Coordination theory provides a top-down approach to model how work is coordinated
  - MIT Process Handbook provides a knowledgebase of coordination mechanisms and exception handlers
  - Derivation trees summarize process similarities and differences
  - Tradeoff matrices describe the costs and benefits of alternatives